App. F. IX-4

Preserve

Previous References

193 - f
(1 file item)

File No. 176 -34

Serial Nos. 1-3

Later References.

file 53-35-16
.37 & 38 .... 16-24.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos.

Part B for century 34

SUBJECT.

[illegible]

General and Revenue Secretariat

Muz File No. 176 of 1934-35

[App. F. III—2

Serial No.

1 Letter no 380/34-35 dt 30.10.34 from the Muzrai Commissioner requesting Sanction for reasons set forth therein to the nomination of Mr T. V. Narasimhachar BA. BL. Advocate Mysore as the Successor to the Peeta of Sosale Sri Vyasarajaswami Mutt - T. Narasipur Taluk.

The D.C's report in original & copy of the same re: the eligibility of Mr T. V. Narasimhachar to the Peeta of Sri Mutt is not sent being M.C. No records have been received with the P.W.D to show that the Majority of the disciples have been agreed to the proposed nomination & rules for same.

GS 30/10/34. MW. 2) Under Rule (ii) of the Rules framed in connection with the succession of Gurus to Mutts (Vide page 497 Chap VIII of the Muzrai Manual) it is laid down that the Guru may fix upon one or more eligible persons for an eventual selection by himself as his successor and obtain A.G's opinion as to the eligibility of such persons. It is in accordance with this that the present recommendation has been made.

The Candidate selected by the Swamiguru is Mr. T. V. Narasimhachar BA. BL.

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

WD 01955—GPB—22,500—12-6-34

Succession to Sosale Sri Vyasarajaswamis Mutt - T. Narasipura Tk

My File No. 176 of 193 4-5 General and Revenue Secretariat

advocate, Mysore, Son of the previous Swamiguru. It is stated that he has been chosen in consultation with Rao Bahadur M. Rajachar & Deobhushana V. Basavana Venkatesachar and other leading disciples. These two names are those of disciples of the Mutt outside Mysore. The Mutt has got a large number of disciples within Mysore such as Prof D. Srinivasachar, Mahadevan Halavanahalli Seshachar M.J. Narasinga Rao M.T. Hanumantha Rao and many others and it seems necessary that they should also be consulted in the matter, if not

1) The M.C. reports that the D.C. has reported that the Candidate selected is 35 yrs of age & is eligible. The M.C. also adds that he saw Mr. Varadachar at Mysore on 24.10.34 and ascertained that he was well up in Sahitya & Vyakarana and was studying books on religion for the past two years and that he was willing to take Asrama when required to do so.

2) It is for consideration whether it would not be desirable to select from among the disciples of the Mutt a more elderly person with better knowledge of the Religious Subjects than the present candidate. The age of 35 appears to be too early for a Grihasta to become a Sanyasi and a famous Mutt like Sri Vyasarajaswamis Mutt

Succession of Late Sir Vyasaraya Mutt Navalgund T.K.

General and Revenue Secretariat — No. 3 File No. 126 of 1934-5 — [App. F. III—2

Serial No.

ought to have a man of highest learning at its head.

7) It is for consideration whether the intention to nominate a candidate should not be publicly made known and the opinions of the disciples within Karnatak the State invited before any selection is made.

8/11/34.

(Ch: Secy)

8) The Mudvai Comr told me yesterday that the matter may be over for the present. The Swamiji does not have much hope of his recovery when he was seriously ill. But he has requested that I would prefer that the matter of his successor be over.

2nd March. 3/11/34

3/11/34

7) I have no objection. It is desirable to get an endorsement about this demi-official from the Govt. C

5/11

7/11/34

7/11

OFFICE OF THE SECOND MEMBER OF COUNCIL
5 NOV. 1934
NO. 3-2-8

F/102 File No. 172 of 1934. Secretariat

Gen ~~and~~

Sir D.o. Ltr

Gen Dpo 9/11

Put up clean draft please

9/11

Jain Dpm Ltr

Dft

For approval

9/11/34

Ch: Secy)

Secy

Rg

14/11

14/11

D-o No 715 of 15-11-1934 to the Inspector Commissioner in the matter of the Succession to the Peetha of Sri Vidyasagara Swamie Kovil.

D.O. Letter No 380/34-35 of 22/11/34 from the Inspector Commissioner stating that as the Swamigal does not propose to give Asana to nominee referred to therein immediately his application appears to be premature and that it can only be regarded as an expression of the present intentions of the Swamigal and recorded as such.

Submitted respectfully for [illegible]

General and Revenue Secretariat | File No. 1261 of 1934 | [App. F. III—2

Serial No.

W.D/- recording orders
Sd/- 5/12 (1) Not necessary & which may/should be filed.
5/12/34
(2) yes
5/12

B/
5/12

No. 380/34-35 Encl one.

OFFICE OF THE MUZRAI COMMISSIONER,
IN MYSORE,

Confidential.

Dated, Bangalore, 29th October *1934.*
30.

FROM

N. MADHAVA RAO, ESQ., B.A., B.L.,
Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.



To

Rajasevasakta
R. Ranga Rao Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Chief *Secretary to the Government of His Highness*
the Maharaja of Mysore,
Department

Urgent
RR
30/10/34.
2/30/10/34

SIR,

Succession to the Sri Vyasarayaswami Matha at Sosale, T.Narsipur Taluk

---

I have the honor to submit herewith Srimukha dated 13th September 1934 received from Sri Vidyavaridhi Tirtha Sripadanga lavaru stating that as he has been of indifferent health of late, he has chosen, in consultation with Rao Bahadur Mr. S.N.V. Rajachar, Desabhushana Mr. Prasanna Venkatesa Char and other leading disciples Mr. T.V. Narasimha Char, B.A.,B.L., Advocate, Mysore, the son of previous Guru, Sri Vidya Ratnakara Tirtha Sripadangalavaru in Purvaasrama, to succeed to the Peeta, and requesting that the nomination may be accepted and orders communicated to him early. The Swami further states that Mr. Narasimha Char is well read in Sahitya, Vyakarna and Siddhantha and is eligible in all respects.

2. The Deputy Commissioner of the Mysore District who was requested to report about the antecedents, qualifications and eligibility of the candidate, reports that Mr. Narasimha Char is about 35 years of age and is eligible to the appointment. I saw Mr. Narasimha Char at Mysore on the 24th instant, and ascertained that he was well-up in Sahitya and Vyakarna and has studying books of religion for the past two years, and that he would take Asrama when required to do so by the Swamigalu. As the candidate is a suitable person and is the son of the previous Swami, I see no objection

to the nomination, and request that the same may be approved by Government.

I have the honor to be,

ಪ್ರ-ಪತ್ರ ನಂ. 715/ Mus 176-34 2. 14th Novr.1934.
15th

Dear Mr.Madhava Rao,

In the course of conversation with me on 2nd November 1934 about the succession to Sosale Sri Vyasarayaswami Mutt T.Narsipur Taluk, I understood you to say that the Swamigalu when he was last seriously ill, proposed for acceptance the nomination of one Mr.T.V.Narasimhachar,B.A.,B.L., Advocate,Mysore, to succeed to the peeta after him,but, that as he has since recovered, he would prefer to let the matte of his succession lie over.

Please let me know if I am correct.

Yours sincerely,

RR

Chief Secretary to Govt.

N.Madhava Rao,Esq., B.A., B.L.,
~~Revenue~~ Financial Commissioner in Mysore.

Section 713

File No. VII 710-34

Serial No.

Diary No. of letter replied to .....

No. 715

To

Rao Bahadur Madhava Rao

Sir

I am Directed to refer to the Conversation had with me on 2-4-34 about the succession to Advocate Sri Vyasaraja Swami Mysore. T. Narasimha I understand you Sir to say that the Swamigaru when he was last seriously ill, proposed for acceptance the nomination of one Mr. T. V. Narasimhacha of one Mr. T. V. Narasimhacha B.A.B.L., Advocate, Mysore, to succeed to the Peeta after him, but, that, as he has since recovered he would prefer to let the matter of his Successor lie over.

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the 193 .

Date of issue 15/11/34

for Secretary to Government

Signed by Mr. R. Ranga Rao

List of enclosures .....

Copied by .....

Examined by .....

WD 01956—GPB—22,500—12-6-34

Copy yes respect of the Swami's idea
having changed his mind in the
matter. Please let me know if I am correct

Yours

RK
14/11/34

RK
GS
10/11/34

RK
10/11/34 Good Idea

Dear Mr. Ranga Rao,

Succession to Sri Vyasarayaswami Mutt,
at Sosale, T Narsipur Taluk.

With reference to your D.O. letter No.715 dated 14/15th November 1934, on the above subject, I write to say that it is my own suggestion - not the wish of the Swamigalu - that the decision should lie over The Swamigalu is, I understand, quite anxious to obtain the approval of Government to his nomination, so that he may act upon it in an emergency, which, however, in his present state of health, he does not regard as ~~one~~ likely to arise in the near future. As the Swamigalu does not propose to give Asrama to the nominee immediately, his application appears to be premature. It can only be regarded as an expression of the present intentions of the Swamigalu and recorded as such.

Yours sincerely.

A. N. Narasimha Rao